

Suwanee, GA

Trends over Time

2014



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2014 ratings for the City of Suwanee to its previous survey results in 2008, 2010 and 2012. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Suwanee represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than eight percentage points between the 2012 and 2014 surveys, otherwise the comparison between 2012 and 2014 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Suwanee for 2014 generally remained stable. Of the 90 items for which comparisons were available, 85 items were rated similarly in 2012 and 2014, two items showed a decrease in ratings and three showed an increase in ratings.

The National Citizen Survey $^{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{TM}}$

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Percent	rating positive	ly (e.g., excelle	ent/good)		Comparison to benchmark				
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2014 rating compared to 2012	2008	2010	2012	2014	
Overall quality of life	95%	95%	97%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	
Overall image	95%	93%	98%	94%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	
Place to live	98%	98%	100%	98%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	
Neighborhood	90%	92%	92%	91%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	
Place to raise children	97%	96%	97%	97%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	
Place to retire	71%	72%	79%	78%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	
Overall appearance	92%	92%	94%	94%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

		Percent r	.	ly (e.g., excell what safe)	ent/good,	2014 rating		Comparison	to benchmark	(
		2008	2010	2012	2014	compared to 2012	2008	2010	2012	2014
	Overall feeling of safety	NA	NA	NA	94%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher
	Safe in neighborhood	97%	97%	96%	97%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	96%	95%	93%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar
	Overall ease of travel	NA	NA	NA	80%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Paths and walking trails	87%	84%	90%	85%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher
	Ease of walking	61%	63%	75%	67%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar
	Travel by bicycle	50%	57%	65%	65%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar
	Travel by car	54%	65%	68%	71%	Similar	Lower	Higher	Higher	Similar
	Public parking	NA	NA	NA	70%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher
Mobility	Traffic flow	38%	47%	51%	48%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Higher	Similar
	Overall natural environment	89%	90%	94%	94%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Cleanliness	95%	94%	93%	94%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
Natural Environment	Air quality	78%	81%	86%	90%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Overall built environment	NA	NA	NA	87%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher
Built Environment	New development in Suwanee	83%	78%	87%	81%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher

		Percent r		ly (e.g., excell ewhat safe)	ent/good,	2014 rating		Comparison	to benchmark	•
		2008	2010	2012	2014	compared to 2012	2008	2010	2012	2014
	Affordable quality housing	73%	72%	73%	71%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Housing options	83%	78%	75%	83%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Public places	NA	NA	NA	88%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher
	Overall economic health	NA	NA	NA	89%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	NA	NA	NA	81%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher
	Business and services	81%	77%	78%	81%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Cost of living	NA	NA	NA	67%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher
	Shopping opportunities	61%	58%	66%	61%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar
	Employment opportunities	50%	45%	52%	51%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Place to visit	NA	NA	NA	78%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Highe
Economy	Place to work	81%	71%	83%	83%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Health and wellness	NA	NA	NA	83%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher
	Mental health care	NA	NA	NA	64%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
	Preventive health services	71%	67%	70%	72%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	NA NA NA NA Much higher NA Much higher Much higher NA Much higher NA	Simila
	Health care	66%	65%	70%	69%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher		Simila
	Food	83%	79%	81%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher		Highe
Recreation and	Recreational opportunities	88%	90%	86%	85%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher		Highe
Wellness	Fitness opportunities	NA	NA	NA	89%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Highe
	Religious or spiritual events and activities	83%	81%	79%	84%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Higher	Simila
	Cultural/arts/music activities	76%	74%	75%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher		Highe
	Adult education	NA	NA	NA	62%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
	K-12 education	90%	92%	93%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher		Much highe
Education and Enrichment	Child care/preschool	77%	71%	75%	83%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much highe

The National Citizen Survey $^{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{TM}}$

		Percent r	J .	y (e.g., excell what safe)	ent/good,	2014 rating	Comparison to benchmark				
		2008	2010	2012	2014	compared to 2012	2008	2010	2012	2014	
	Social events and activities	81%	84%	84%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	
	Neighborliness	NA	NA	NA	79%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Higher	
	Openness and acceptance	87%	87%	85%	88%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	79%	79%	78%	79%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	
Community Engagement	Opportunities to volunteer	83%	81%	77%	83%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Higher	

Table 3: Governance General

	Pe	rcent rating exceller	positively (ent/good)	.g.,	2014 rating compared to		Comparison	to benchmark	
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2012	2008	2010	2012	2014
Services provided by Suwanee	94%	87%	94%	91%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
Customer service	91%	84%	85%	87%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar
Value of services for taxes paid	77%	71%	76%	76%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
Overall direction	86%	79%	89%	91%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher
Welcoming citizen involvement	80%	80%	77%	81%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher
Confidence in City government	NA	NA	NA	83%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher
Acting in the best interest of Suwanee	NA	NA	NA	86%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher
Being honest	NA	NA	NA	85%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher
Treating all residents fairly	NA	NA	NA	83%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher
Services provided by the Federal Government	49%	32%	40%	37%	Similar	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar
Services provided by the State Government	57%	42%	47%	43%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar
Services provided by Gwinnett County Government	75%	59%	66%	65%	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Much higher	Similar

Table 4: Governance by Facet

		Per		positively (e	.g.,					
				nt/good)		2014 rating compared to			to benchmark	
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2012	2008	2010	2012	2014
	Police	91%	86%	90%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Simila
	Fire	97%	95%	98%	92%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Simila
	Ambulance/EMS	96%	94%	97%	95%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Simila
	Crime prevention	87%	88%	88%	84%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Highe
	Fire prevention	85%	85%	83%	82%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Simila
Safety	Animal control	79%	77%	76%	71%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Simila
,	Traffic enforcement	80%	73%	73%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Simila
	Street repair	76%	69%	74%	68%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Highe
	Street cleaning	79%	78%	80%	80%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Highe
	Street lighting	74%	68%	70%	79%	Higher	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Highe
	Snow removal	78%	63%	52%	53%	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Sidewalk maintenance	74%	68%	72%	73%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Highe
	Traffic signal timing	55%	54%	56%	58%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Higher	Simila
Mobility	Bus or transit services	41%	30%	31%	39%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lowe
·	Garbage collection	83%	87%	87%	83%	Similar	Lower	Much higher	Higher	Simila
	Recycling	76%	86%	87%	81%	Similar	Lower	Much higher	Much higher	Simila
	Yard waste pick-up	72%	76%	84%	82%	Similar	Much lower	Higher	Higher	Simila
	Drinking water	84%	79%	82%	83%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Highe
	Natural areas preservation	83%	86%	89%	84%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Highe
Natural Environment	Open space	NA	NA	NA	86%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Highe
Built Environment	Storm drainage	82%	74%	79%	78%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Simila

		Per	cent rating exceller	positively (ent/good)	e.g.,	2014 rating compared to		Comparison	to benchmark	
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2012	2008	2010	2012	2014
	Sewer services	88%	82%	88%	83%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar
	Land use, planning and zoning	71%	64%	77%	72%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Code enforcement	70%	66%	72%	74%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Cable television	57%	55%	57%	53%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar
Economy	Economic development	78%	67%	77%	83%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher
COHOTTY	City parks	97%	95%	97%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
	Recreation programs	89%	83%	80%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar
	Recreation centers	92%	86%	87%	85%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
Recreation and Wellness	Health services	76%	76%	81%	72%	Lower	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar
	Special events	NA	NA	NA	90%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much higher
Education and Enrichment	Public libraries	92%	93%	87%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar
Community Engagement	Public information	85%	82%	86%	84%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher

Table 5: Participation General

	Percent rating		ays/sometimes, mo n, yes)	ore than once a	2014 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark			
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2012	2008	2010	2012	2014
Sense of community	91%	86%	87%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
Recommend Suwanee	96%	96%	99%	97%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher
Remain in Suwanee	92%	91%	91%	91%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar
Contacted Suwanee employees	52%	47%	35%	32%	Similar	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower

Table 6: Participation by Facet

		Percent ratio	ng positively (e.		etimes, more			C		-
	-	2008	than once a	month, yes) 2012	2014	2014 rating compared to 2012	2008	Comparison to 2010	2012	2014
	Charled asymptics for an	2006	2010	2012	2014	compared to 2012	2006	2010	2012	2014
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	NA	NA	NA	31%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Did NOT report a crime	NA	NA	NA	84%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	92%	94%	94%	91%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Simila
	Used public transportation instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	8%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much lower
	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	NA	NA	39%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
Mobility	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	49%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
-	Conserved water	NA	NA	NA	83%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
Natural	Made home more energy efficient	NA	NA	NA	79%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
Environment	Recycled at home	72%	81%	86%	88%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Simila
	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	NA	NA	67%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Highe
Built Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	68%	73%	76%	74%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Simila
	Purchased goods or services in Suwanee	NA	NA	NA	98%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
	Economy will have positive impact on income	10%	18%	26%	50%	Higher	Much lower	Similar	Much higher	Much highe
Economy	Work in Suwanee	NA	NA	NA	29%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Lowe
	Used Suwanee recreation centers	74%	73%	67%	78%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much highe
Recreation and Wellness	Visited a City park	95%	94%	97%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Highe
	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	46%	42%	44%	37%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lowe
Education and Enrichment	Attended a City-sponsored event	NA	NA	NA	82%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Much highe
	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	NA	NA	NA	13%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
	Contacted Suwanee elected officials	NA	NA	NA	9%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Simila
Community Engagement	Volunteered	33%	37%	39%	27%	Lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower

	Percent ratio	ng positively (e. than once a	g., always/some month, yes)	etimes, more	2014 rating	Comparison to benchmark			
	2008	2010	2012	2014	compared to 2012	2008	2010 2012		
Participated in a club	24%	23%	23%	25%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Similar
Talked to or visited with neighbors	NA	NA	NA	91%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Done a favor for a neighbor	NA	NA	NA	81%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Attended a local public meeting	34%	28%	22%	28%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Lower	Similar
Read or watched local news	NA	NA	NA	84%	NA	NA	NA	NA	Similar
Voted in local elections	84%	70%	75%	80%	Similar	Much higher	Lower	Similar	Similar