

Suwanee, GA

Trends over Time

2017



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2017 ratings for the City of Suwanee to its previous survey results in 2008, 2010, 2012 and 2014. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Suwanee represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than nine percentage points between the 2014 and 2017 surveys, otherwise the comparison between 2014 and 2017 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Suwanee for 2017 generally remained stable. Of the 123 items for which comparisons were available, 113 items were rated similarly in 2014 and 2017, six items showed a decrease in ratings and four showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Ratings were generally stable over time within the pillar of Community Characteristics; however, ratings declined from 2014 to 2017 for Suwanee as a place to work and the cost of living.
- Within the pillar of Governance, respondents reported higher levels of satisfaction with services provided by the Federal Government as well as City snow removal services, cable television and recreation programs in 2017 than in 2014. Street lighting saw a decrease in ratings over time.
- When compared to 2014, fewer residents had made efforts to make their homes more energy efficient, attended a City-sponsored event and voted in local elections in 2017.

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Percent	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)					Comparison to benchmark						
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	2017 rating compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017		
Overall quality of life	95%	95%	97%	96%	92%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
Overall image	95%	93%	98%	94%	96%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher		
Place to live	98%	98%	100%	98%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
Neighborhood	90%	92%	92%	91%	94%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar		
Place to raise children	97%	96%	97%	97%	95%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
Place to retire	71%	72%	79%	78%	75%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
Overall appearance	92%	92%	94%	94%	94%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher		

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

		Percen	t rating por very/	sitively (e.g		t/good,	2017 rating	Comparison to benchmark					
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	
	Overall feeling of safety	NA	NA	NA	94%	96%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	
	Safe in neighborhood	97%	97%	96%	97%	98%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	96%	95%	93%	96%	97%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	
	Overall ease of travel	NA	NA	NA	80%	75%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
	Paths and walking trails	87%	84%	90%	85%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	
	Ease of walking	61%	63%	75%	67%	68%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	
	Travel by bicycle	50%	57%	65%	65%	60%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	
	Travel by car	54%	65%	68%	71%	72%	Similar	Lower	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	
	Public parking	NA	NA	NA	70%	68%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	
Mobility	Traffic flow	38%	47%	51%	48%	40%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	
	Overall natural environment	89%	90%	94%	94%	94%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
	Cleanliness	95%	94%	93%	94%	91%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
Natural Environment	Air quality	78%	81%	86%	90%	88%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	
	Overall built environment	NA	NA	NA	87%	80%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	
Built Environment	New development in Suwanee	83%	78%	87%	81%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	

		Percen		sitively (e.g		t/good,	2017 rating	Comparison to benchmark					
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	
	Affordable quality housing	73%	72%	73%	71%	63%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
	Housing options	83%	78%	75%	83%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
	Public places	NA	NA	NA	88%	87%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	
	Overall economic health	NA	NA	NA	89%	86%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher	
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	NA	NA	NA	81%	80%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher	
	Business and services	81%	77%	78%	81%	78%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	
	Cost of living	NA	NA	NA	67%	52%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	
	Shopping opportunities	61%	58%	66%	61%	60%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	
	Employment opportunities	50%	45%	52%	51%	53%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
	Place to visit	NA	NA	NA	78%	78%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	
Economy	Place to work	81%	71%	83%	83%	72%	Lower	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
	Health and wellness	NA	NA	NA	83%	83%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar	
	Mental health care	NA	NA	NA	64%	67%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Higher	
	Preventive health services	71%	67%	70%	72%	75%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	
	Health care	66%	65%	70%	69%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher	
	Food	83%	79%	81%	79%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	
Recreation and	Recreational opportunities	88%	90%	86%	85%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
Wellness	Fitness opportunities	NA	NA	NA	89%	88%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	
	Religious or spiritual events and activities	83%	81%	79%	84%	84%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	
	Cultural/arts/music activities	76%	74%	75%	75%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
	Adult education	NA	NA	NA	62%	68%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
	K-12 education	90%	92%	93%	95%	92%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	
Education and Enrichment	Child care/preschool	77%	71%	75%	83%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	

		Percen		sitively (e.d		t/good,	2017 rating	Comparison to benchmark					
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	
	Social events and activities	81%	84%	84%	82%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher	
	Neighborliness	NA	NA	NA	79%	81%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher	
	Openness and acceptance	87%	87%	85%	88%	80%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	79%	79%	78%	79%	80%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher	
Community Engagement	Opportunities to volunteer	83%	81%	77%	83%	82%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Higher	Higher	Similar	

Table 3: Governance General

	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good)					2017 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark						
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017		
Services provided by Suwanee	94%	87%	94%	91%	92%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
Customer service	91%	84%	85%	87%	86%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher		
Value of services for taxes paid	77%	71%	76%	76%	70%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
Overall direction	86%	79%	89%	91%	82%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher		
Welcoming citizen involvement	80%	80%	77%	81%	80%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher		
Confidence in City government	NA	NA	NA	83%	75%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher		
Acting in the best interest of Suwanee	NA	NA	NA	86%	78%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher		
Being honest	NA	NA	NA	85%	80%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher		
Treating all residents fairly	NA	NA	NA	83%	79%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher		
Services provided by the Federal Government	49%	32%	40%	37%	49%	Higher	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar		

Table 4: Governance by Facet

		F		ting posit		• ,	2017 rating compared	Comparison to benchmark						
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017		
	Police	91%	86%	90%	86%	90%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher		
	Fire	97%	95%	98%	92%	94%	Similar	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar		
	Ambulance/EMS	96%	94%	97%	95%	93%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar		
	Crime prevention	87%	88%	88%	84%	85%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
	Fire prevention	85%	85%	83%	82%	87%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar		
Safety	Animal control	79%	77%	76%	71%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher		
	Traffic enforcement	80%	73%	73%	75%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar		
	Street repair	76%	69%	74%	68%	68%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
	Street cleaning	79%	78%	80%	80%	76%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
	Street lighting	74%	68%	70%	79%	70%	Lower	Higher	Higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar		
	Snow removal	78%	63%	52%	53%	76%	Higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar	Similar	Higher		
	Sidewalk maintenance	74%	68%	72%	73%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
Mobility	Traffic signal timing	55%	54%	56%	58%	63%	Similar	Similar	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar		
	Garbage collection	83%	87%	87%	83%	86%	Similar	Lower	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Similar		
	Recycling	76%	86%	87%	81%	78%	Similar	Lower	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar		
	Yard waste pick-up	72%	76%	84%	82%	80%	Similar	Much lower	Higher	Higher	Similar	Similar		
	Drinking water	84%	79%	82%	83%	80%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Highe		
	Natural areas preservation	83%	86%	89%	84%	80%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
Natural Environment	Open space	NA	NA	NA	86%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Higher		
Built Environment	Storm drainage	82%	74%	79%	78%	79%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher		

		F	Percent ra exc	ting posit		.,	2017 rating compared	Comparison to benchmark						
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017		
	Sewer services	88%	82%	88%	83%	85%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher		
	Land use, planning and zoning	71%	64%	77%	72%	81%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher		
	Code enforcement	70%	66%	72%	74%	76%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Much higher		
	Cable television	57%	55%	57%	53%	66%	Higher	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar	Higher		
Economy	Economic development	78%	67%	77%	83%	83%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher		
	City parks	97%	95%	97%	96%	97%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
	Recreation programs	89%	83%	80%	79%	89%	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher		
	Recreation centers	92%	86%	87%	85%	89%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
Recreation and Wellness	Health services	76%	76%	81%	72%	80%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Higher		
	Special events	NA	NA	NA	90%	91%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Much higher		
Education and Enrichment	Public libraries	92%	93%	87%	90%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Higher		
Community Engagement	Public information	85%	82%	86%	84%	83%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		

Table 5: Participation General

	Percent rati	ng positively (e	e.g., always/sor month, yes)	netimes, more	than once a	2017 rating compared to	Comparison to benchmark					
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	
							Much	Much	Much			
Sense of community	91%	86%	87%	86%	80%	Similar	higher	higher	higher	Higher	Higher	
							Much	Much	Much			
Recommend Suwanee	96%	96%	99%	97%	97%	Similar	higher	higher	higher	Higher	Higher	
							Much	Much				
Remain in Suwanee	92%	91%	91%	91%	89%	Similar	higher	higher	Higher	Similar	Similar	
Contacted Suwanee								Much	Much			
employees	52%	47%	35%	32%	31%	Similar	Lower	lower	lower	Lower	Lower	

Table 6: Participation by Facet

		Percent r	ating positive	ely (e.g., alw once a montl		nes, more	2017	Comparison to benchmark						
		2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	2017 rating compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017		
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	NA	NA	NA	31%	29%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Simila		
	Did NOT report a crime	NA	NA	NA	84%	84%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Simila		
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	92%	94%	94%	91%	93%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Similar	Simila		
	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	NA	NA	39%	38%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Simila		
Mobility	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	NA	NA	49%	44%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Lower		
	Conserved water	NA	NA	NA	83%	81%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar		
Natural	Made home more energy efficient	NA	NA	NA	79%	70%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar		
Environment	Recycled at home	72%	81%	86%	88%	82%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar		
	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	NA	NA	67%	61%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Higher	Similar		
Built Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	68%	73%	76%	74%	68%	Similar	Higher	Much higher	Much higher	Similar	Similar		
	Purchased goods or services in Suwanee	NA	NA	NA	98%	94%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar		
	Economy will have positive impact on income	10%	18%	26%	50%	48%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Higher		
Economy	Work in Suwanee	NA	NA	NA	29%	36%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Lower	Similar		
	Used Suwanee recreation centers	74%	73%	67%	78%	75%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher		
Recreation and Wellness	Visited a City park	95%	94%	97%	96%	98%	Similar	Much higher	Much higher	Much higher	Higher	Higher		
	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	46%	42%	44%	37%	36%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower		
Education and Enrichment	Attended a City-sponsored event	NA	NA	NA	82%	72%	Lower	NA	NA	NA	Much higher	Higher		
	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	NA	NA	NA	13%	15%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar		
	Contacted Suwanee elected officials	NA	NA	NA	9%	13%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar		
	Volunteered	33%	37%	39%	27%	29%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower	Lower		
Community Engagement	Participated in a club	24%	23%	23%	25%	20%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar		

	Percent r	ating positiv than o	ely (e.g., alv once a mont	-	nes, more	2017 rating	Comparison to benchmark					
	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	compared to 2014	2008	2010	2012	2014	2017	
Talked to or visited wi neighbors	th NA	NA	NA	91%	90%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
Done a favor for a neighbor	NA	NA	NA	81%	79%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
Attended a local publi meeting	c 34%	28%	22%	28%	27%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar	
Read or watched loca news	nI NA	NA	NA	84%	82%	Similar	NA	NA	NA	Similar	Similar	
Voted in local election	s 84%	70%	75%	80%	69%	Lower	Much higher	Lower	Similar	Similar	Lower	